

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/805,539	03/13/2001	Diane L. Deering	D15-003-02-US	2687
22854	7590 05/28/2003			
MOORE, HANSEN & SUMNER			EXAMINER	
90 SOUTH SI	FARGO CENTER EVENTH STREET		CONLEY,	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
. /		Application No.	DEERING ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		09/805,539				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
 	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Fredrick C Conley	3673			
Period fo	or Reply					
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 /	<u>March 2003</u> .				
2a) □		is action is non-final.				
3)						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
	Claim(s) $\underline{5-7.9.11}$ and $\underline{13}$ is/are pending in the					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7,9,11 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
and the second second	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.				
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲 🤄	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce _l	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receiv	ed.			
14)[] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
)					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
I C Patent and T	1					

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 5, 11, and 13 recite the limitations "a middle **third** portion and the half portion". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 3,919,721 to Earhart in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,245,717 to Rudy.

In reference to claim 5, Earhart discloses a blanket comprising:

a substantially rectangular blanket 10 having a planar first surface and a planar second surface, said blanket having two opposing first and second side edges and two opposing top and bottom edges which are shorter than said two opposing side edges, said blanket having a middle third portion;

a foot pocket 19 comprising a panel having two opposing first and second edges and two opposing top and bottom edges, said pocket panel being connected to said blanket at said first edge, second edge and bottom edge of said pocket panel, said bottom edge of said pocket panel coinciding with both the middle third portion of said blanket and the bottom edge of said blanket for warming feet. Earhart discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for a pair of sleeves. Rudy discloses a blanket having a pair of sleeves 120 inherently having oblong apertures 111 defined at a shoulder end. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ sleeves in order to prevent any cooling discomfort of the arms and shoulders.

Application/Control Number: 09/805,539

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 9, wherein the sleeve apertures are spaced along the horizontal center line (fig. 1-2).

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 3,919,721 to Earhart in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,245,717 to Rudy, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 777,825 to Wilkes.

Regarding claims 6-7, Earhart discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for the sleeve aperture having a vertical orientation and an oblique orientation relative to a horizontal center line. Wilkes discloses a blanket wherein a sleeve aperture (a) has a vertical orientation and an oblique orientation relative to a horizontal center line (fig. 1). It would have been obvious to employ an oblique orientation in order for the user's arms to occupy a more natural and comfortable position when thrust through the opening.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 3,919,721 to Earhart in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,245,717 to Rudy, in view of U.S. Pat. No. 777,825 to Wilkes, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,219,847 to Aikins.

In reference to claim 11, Earhart discloses a blanket comprising:

a substantially rectangular blanket 10 having a planar first surface and a planar second surface, said blanket having two opposing first and second side edges and two opposing top and bottom edges which are shorter than said two opposing side edges, said blanket having a middle third portion;

Application/Control Number: 09/805,539

Art Unit: 3673

said blanket has a first rounded corner at the intersection of said bottom edge and said first side edge of said blanket, and said blanket has a second rounded corner at the intersection of said bottom edge and said second side edge of said blanket, a foot pocket 19 comprising a panel having two opposing first and second edges and two opposing top and bottom edges, said pocket panel being connected to said blanket at said first edge, second edge and bottom edge of said pocket panel, said bottom edge of said pocket panel coinciding with both the middle third portion of said blanket and the bottom edge of said blanket for warming feet. Earhart discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for a pair of sleeves and sleeve apertures. Rudy discloses a blanket having a pair of sleeves 120 inherently having oblong apertures 111 defined at a shoulder end. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ sleeves in order to prevent any cooling discomfort of the arms and shoulders. Earhart fails to discloses the apertures having a vertical orientation and an oblique orientation relative to a horizontal center line. Wilkes discloses a blanket wherein a sleeve aperture (a) has a vertical orientation and an oblique orientation relative to a horizontal center line (fig. 1) and spaced along the horizontal centerline at a predetermined length so that the blanket lies flat across the chest of the user. It would have been obvious to employ an oblique orientation in order for the user's arms to occupy a more natural and comfortable position when thrust through the opening. Earhart also fails to disclose an expandable cuff and a neck portion. Aikins discloses a blanket having an expandable cuff 17 and neck portion (14-15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ an expandable cuff and neck portion in order to cover most of the body without falling off.

Application/Control Number: 09/805,539

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 3,919,721 to Earhart in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,245,717 to Rudy, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 777,825 to Wilkes.

In reference to claim 13, Earhart discloses a blanket comprising: a substantially rectangular blanket 10 having a planar first surface and a planar second surface, said blanket having two opposing first and second side edges and two opposing top and bottom edges which are shorter than said two opposing side edges, said blanket having a middle third portion. Earhart discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for a pair of sleeves and sleeve apertures. Rudy discloses a blanket having a pair of sleeves 120 inherently having oblong apertures 111 defined at a shoulder end. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ sleeves in order to prevent any cooling discomfort of the arms and shoulders. Earhart also fails to discloses the apertures being disposed close enough to each other to provide for anterior insertion of the user's arms. Wilkes discloses a blanket wherein a sleeve apertures (a) being disposed close enough to each other to provide for anterior insertion of the user's arms. It would have been obvious to employ an oblique orientation in order for the user's arms to occupy a more natural and comfortable position when thrust through the opening.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-7, 9, 11, and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fredrick Conley whose telephone number is (703) 308-7468.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford, can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

\G'_

5/20/03